

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,718	07/20/2005	Koji Nakayama	442P098	1882
42754 NIFLDS & LF	42754 7590 09/25/2007 NIELDS & LEMACK		EXAMINER	
176 EAST MA	IN STREET, SUITE 7		ZIMMER, MARC S	
WESTBORO,	MA 01581		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/542,718	NAKAYAMA, KOJI			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Marc S. Zimmer	1712			
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	August 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 10-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrates 5) Claim(s) 11,13 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11,13 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Drity documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/542,718

Art Unit: 1712

Applicant has amended both claims 10 and 11 to stipulate that the claimed methods are carried out in a medium selected from one of five specified organic solvents. Ostensibly because they anticipated that the Examiner would hold the claims newly added limitations as being obvious, Applicant has also furnished a declaration outlining a purportedly unexpected benefit associated with the employment of the claimed solvents in lieu of a protic solvent such as methanol. In particular, it is stated that the condensation product obtained upon carrying out the reaction in MIBK is superior in hear resistance when compared with the polymer prepared in methanol.

The improved heat resistance is surely the outcome of a polymer having a different molecular weight, polydispersity, or some other property having been obtained from the system that employ MIBK as the solvent. The Examiner had attempted to find a reference that describes the intimate mechanistic details of a base-catalyzed polycondensation reaction of hydrolyzable organosilicon compounds so as to ascertain whether one of ordinary skill might have predicted different outcomes, ones explaining the different heat resistances documented by Applicant's declaration, when MIKB or one of the other polar aprotic solvents replaces an alcohol or water as the solvating medium, but was unsuccessful. Therefore, Applicant's assertion that the observed differences in the heat resistance of polymers prepared in aprotic and protic solvents cannot be refuted.

However, a modified survey of the prior art yielded new prior art that serves to render unpatentable at least some of the remaining claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,718

Art Unit: 1712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lichtenhan et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0055193. See paragraph 92.

Dai et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0212228 is cited as being of interest for its disclosure in paragraphs 151 and 152 of a method of preparing a co-condensate derived from glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane and phenyltrimethoxysilane that entails forming a prepolymer of these materials in the presence of an acid and a considerable volume of MIBK and, thereafter, carrying out further condensation of the prepolymer in the presence of a base also in MIBK. This reference, while noteworthy, fails to even render obvious the claimed invention at least for the reason that the claims stipulate that an epoxysilane is polycondensed in the presence of a base whereas the compound being condensed in the presence of base according to the process outlined in these paragraphs would be an epoxy-functional oligosiloxane (labeled a prepolymer by the authors). The Examiner does not believe that Applicant's claims embrace this permutation. It should be emphasized that, while it is a possibility that some of the

Application/Control Number: 10/542,718

Art Unit: 1712

epoxy-functional siloxane may go unreacted during the first stage and, hence, be present when the second base-catalyzed stage begins, the Examiner cannot verify this notion.

As an aside, while the Examiner acknowledges that Lichtenhan discloses polyhedral silsesquioxanes (POSS) derived from both organofunctional silanes and hydrolyzable silanes bearing monovalent hydrocarbon substituents, the approach for making these compounds entails corner-capping an incompletely condensed POSS. In fact, the bulk of that disclosure is directed to corner-capping reactions and the synthesis outlined in paragraph 92 stands out for its departure from the general theme of the reference. That is to say, there is no fair suggestion of making a heteroleptic (POSS), one derived from a mixture of different silanes, by simply co-polymerizing different silanes together, e.g. epoxycyclohexyltrimethoxysilane with a hydrolyzable silane bearing monovalent hydrocarbon substituents.

Claims 11, 13, and 14 appear to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/542,718 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 19, 2007

MARC S. ZIMMER PRIMARY EXAMINER